



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/489,597	01/20/2000	Evgeniy M. Getsin	IACTP017	6029
22242	7590	05/01/2006	EXAMINER	
FITCH EVEN TABIN AND FLANNERY 120 SOUTH LA SALLE STREET SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL 60603-3406			BASHORE, WILLIAM L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2176	

DATE MAILED: 05/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/489,597	GETSIN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	William L. Bashore	2176	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 February 2006.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)          |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. <u>2/2/2006</u>                                      |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/2/2006</u> . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
|   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____.                                   |

**DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to communications: RCE filed 2/21/2006, to the original application filed 1/20/2000. IDS filed 9/26/2001, 3/15/2004, 4/5/2004, 4/12/2004, 4/14/2004, 9/3/2004, 10/27/2004, 1/28/2005, 3/31/2005, 8/31/2005, 9/2/2005, and currently 2/2/2006.
2. Claims 1-18 pending. Claims 1, 7, 13 are independent.

***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

3. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/21/2006 has been entered.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. **The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:**

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. **Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roberts et al. (hereinafter Roberts), U.S. Patent No. 6,161,132 issued December 2000, in view of Craig (hereinafter Craig), U.S. Patent No. 6,108,687 issued August 2000.**

**In regard to independent claim 1,** Roberts teaches synchronization of entertainment media to musical CD recordings within client devices in a network chat room environment, utilizing plug-ins (Roberts column 2 lines 19-26, column 6 lines 61-67, column 7 lines 10-24; compare with claim 1 "*A method for identifying playback devices of a plurality of client apparatuses which are networked to simultaneously playback an event, comprising the steps of:*"

Roberts teaches a chat server requesting a user insert a CD into his/her player, resulting in communication of the CD's unique identifier to said server, ultimately resulting in the opening of a chat room for eventual CD synchronization of other client devices (Roberts column 7 lines 15-37 to column 8 lines 14; compare with claim 1 "*receiving requests prior to a start time from each of the client apparatuses to simultaneously playback the event*").

Roberts teaches a command plug-in for aiding in the playing of a musical recording, said plug-in gathers information regarding the capabilities of the client's CD drive, therefore determining the type of drive (i.e. 2x, 4x, etc.) (Roberts column 4 lines 1-16). Roberts also teaches said embodiment controlling devices other than audio CDs (i.e. DVD, etc.) (Roberts Abstract, column 2 lines 5-10) (compare with claim 1 "*identifying a type of the playback device of each of the client apparatuses*").

Roberts teaches a remote host initiating actions on a client device, as well as said host becoming aware of user initiated actions on said device (i.e. CD player buttons, etc. (Roberts column 2 lines 5-26). In order for said host (i.e. server or chat server) to become aware of the client device controls, the command data regarding said controls must be made available to the host (compare with claim 1 "*looking up a command associated with the identified type of the playback device*").

Roberts teaches synchronization of CD playback associated with a chat room (Roberts column 7 lines 15-37 to column 8 lines 14). If a chat room exists and is open with another client, the server will allow joining and synchronizing of a user's CD with the other client.

Art Unit: 2176

It is additionally noted that a chat room must ultimately start at some point in time, and prior to chat room participation/synchronization, Robert's chat server requests a user insert a CD into his/her player to communicate the CD's unique identifier (see above).

Although a predefined threshold period of acquisition can be defined as the time during the active participation of said chat room (the time duration of the chat room), alternatively the predefined threshold period can also be interpreted as the time between initial communication of said identifier, and the ultimate starting point of the simultaneous playback of an event (the chat room) (compare with claim 1 "*determining whether each request is received during a predetermined threshold period prior to the simultaneous playback of the event*").

Roberts teaches a chat host using the commands of a client device for synchronizing the display of content using a unique identifier (of the CD), as well as synchronization of participating client CDs by comparing and synchronizing information (i.e. start times, audio volumes, etc.) between devices during a chat room session using plug-ins (Roberts column 6 lines 60-67, column 7 lines 10-37 to column 8 lines 1-2). Roberts does not specifically teach said synchronization of client devices based upon analyzing device type capabilities, as claimed. However, Roberts teaches a plug-in which collects capabilities about a CD drive (Roberts column 2 lines 1-18, column 4 lines 1-16). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply the plug-in analyzing CD capabilities and controls, to Robert's chat room embodiment, providing the analysis of device type commands for chat room CD device synchronization, providing Robert's the benefit of synchronization of audio CD devices with a wide array of different characteristics (i.e. speed 1x, 2x, 4x, 8x, etc.) (compare with claim 1 "*sending the command to the corresponding client apparatus for beginning the playback of the event simultaneously with the playback of the event on each of the remaining client apparatuses....*").

Roberts teaches synchronization of CD playback associated with a chat room (Roberts column 7 lines 15-37 to column 8 lines 14). As explained above, if a chat room exists and is open with another client, the server will allow joining and synchronizing of a user's CD with the other client, therefore the predefined threshold

period of acquisition can be the time during the active participation of said chat room (the time duration of the chat room). During the chat session, a client may indicate a change (a predetermined point) in the position of the CD, therefore propagating said change to all other clients accordingly, at a time during the playback of the event.

Alternatively, however, a predetermined threshold period can be interpreted as the period of time between initial communication of each CD's identifier, and the ultimate starting point of the simultaneous playback of an event (the chat room) (see above), therefore the chat room ultimately starts with the CD devices initially identified during a predetermined threshold period. Accordingly, since chat rooms typically allow user participation at any point during the chat room's existence, likewise, Roberts allows a new CD device to join in at any point after the chat room begins. Since this occurs after the predetermined threshold period as alternatively explained above, the server never receives the new CD device's identifier during said threshold period (compare with claim 1 "*for those requests received during the....not received during the threshold period.*").

Roberts does not specifically teach that received requests during its threshold period occur prior to "*a start time of initially beginning*" the playback. However, Craig teaches synchronized presentation of slides over a network, in which display is synchronized across participating clients accordingly (Craig Abstract). Craig additionally teaches initiation of a presentation with a slide showing title and presentation start time, allowing student users to establish connections, and thereby alerting said users as to when the lecture/presentation is to begin (Craig column 12 lines 7-21). It is noted that Craig's presentation "initiation" period can be reasonably interpreted as a predefined threshold period, and the beginning of Craig's "actual presentation" can be reasonably interpreted as the beginning (start time) of the simultaneous playback of the event. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply Craig to Robert's device synchronization, providing Roberts the benefit of allowing all participating users to experience a multimedia chat session in its entirety (i.e. beginning a simultaneous chat session), for better understanding of a presentation, especially in an educational setting.

**In regard to dependent claim 2,** Roberts teaches both visual and audio presentations (Roberts column 4 lines 58-67 to column 5 lines 1-27).

**In regard to dependent claim 3,** claim 3 incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claimed in claim 1, and in further view of the following, is rejected along the same rationale.

Roberts teaches a chat room network for identifying and synchronizing devices as explained in the rejection of claim 1 above (see also Roberts Abstract, column 6 line 61, to column 7 lines 30).

**In regard to dependent claim 4,** Roberts teaches the Internet ( a wide area network) (Roberts column 1 lines 57-61).

**In regard to dependent claim 5,** Roberts teaches generation of a unique identifier associated with musical recordings on a CD, as well as a CD key for entering special Web areas (Roberts column 6 lines 49-60). Roberts does not specifically teach a client apparatus storing an identifier for identifying a host (i.e. Roberts's chat room host embodiment does not store host identification in the client device), as claimed. However, since it is known that chat session synchronization between a chat server and clients involve communication between said server and all participating clients, Roberts's teaching of said chat room embodiment provides the claimed equivalent of a host identifier so that two way communication can commence. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to interpret Roberts in this fashion, providing a client device of Roberts a key piece of essential information so that the client device knows the identification of the chat server.

Art Unit: 2176

**In regard to dependent claim 6,** Roberts teaches an embodiment utilizing a DVD device (Roberts column 2 lines 5-10).

**In regard to independent claim 7,** claim 7 reflects the computer program product comprising computer readable instructions used for performing the methods as claimed in claim 1, and is rejected along the same rationale.

**In regard to dependent claims 8-12,** claims 8-12 reflect the computer program product comprising computer readable instructions used for performing the methods as claimed in claims 2-6, respectively, and are rejected along the same rationale.

**In regard to independent claim 13,** claim 13 reflects the system comprising computer readable instructions used for performing the methods as claimed in claim 1, and is rejected along the same rationale.

**In regard to dependent claims 14-18,** claims 14-18 reflect the computer program product comprising computer readable instructions used for performing the methods as claimed in claims 2-6, respectively, and are rejected along the same rationale.

#### *Response to Arguments*

6. Applicant's arguments filed 2/21/2006 have been fully and carefully considered but are currently moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant argues that Roberts does not teach the claimed limitations, based at least on the examiner's interpretation of how Roberts teaches a "predetermined threshold period". A predetermined threshold period can be fairly interpreted as the time from initial communication of a CDs identifier, to the ultimate starting point of a

Art Unit: 2176

chat room. Roberts can ultimately begin a chat room with a plurality of devices queued up and waiting. The Craig reference is introduced to teach Applicant's amendment accordingly.

***Conclusion***

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William L. Bashore whose telephone number is (571) 272-4088. The examiner can normally be reached on 11:30am - 8:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon can be reached on (571) 272-4136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

*William L. Bashore*  
**WILLIAM BASHORE**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

April 27, 2006